



STATE PROTECTION OF WOMEN'S RIGHTS IN UZBEKISTAN: LEGAL GUARANTEES AND IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

Sadriddinova Latofat Husniddin qizi

Lecturer, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Law

Samarkand State University named after Sharof Rashidov

Email: latofatsadriddinova@gmail.com

Abstract

This article examines the constitutional and legislative foundations for protecting women's rights in Uzbekistan, focusing on equality guarantees, workplace protections and institutional implementation. It adopts a qualitative doctrinal approach, comparing the constitutional principle of equal rights with statutory provisions and the stated responsibilities of gender-equality advisory bodies. The review identifies a distinction between formal recognition of rights and their effective application in employment, access to public decision-making and institutional complaint handling. It argues that protection is strengthened when legal duties are paired with accessible remedies, transparent monitoring and practical institutional accountability. The article provides a structured assessment of existing legal instruments and recommends improvements in implementation rather than claiming new empirical results.

Keywords: Gender equality; women's rights; constitutional guarantees; labour rights; Uzbekistan; legal protection.

Introduction

Guarantees of women's rights are not limited to declarations of equality. Their practical meaning is shaped by access to paid employment, public service, education, legal information and effective institutional remedies. A rights-based assessment therefore examines two interconnected dimensions: the normative content of legal protection and the procedures through which individuals can exercise those protections in everyday situations. The distinction matters because a comprehensive legal text may coexist with obstacles in awareness, administration or reporting.

Uzbekistan has developed a group of constitutional and statutory instruments concerning equality between women and men, harassment and violence, and related safeguards for employment. The original article places particular emphasis on gender-equality advisory structures and provisions relating to working women. These issues are considered here in a more systematic legal framework, with attention to the difference between duties assigned by law and results that would require empirical investigation. The objective is to identify how constitutional guarantees, sector-specific legislation and internal institutional procedures can reinforce one another. Three research questions guide the analysis: What legal principles form the basis of protection? Through which workplace and administrative mechanisms might they be implemented? Which indicators would permit a credible evaluation of actual access to rights? The article contributes a practical classification of implementation challenges without presenting unverified claims of policy success.



2. Literature and conceptual framework

Legal equality can be examined through formal and substantive perspectives. Formal equality addresses the consistent recognition of rights, rules and eligibility criteria. Substantive equality asks whether institutional arrangements permit individuals to exercise their rights despite differences in resources, caring responsibilities and bargaining power. This analytical distinction does not substitute for statutory interpretation; rather, it makes explicit the questions that should be asked when evaluating the operation of legal guarantees.

The concept of effective remedies provides another useful lens. A right becomes meaningful when individuals know that the right exists, can identify an appropriate body to receive a complaint, can obtain a fair decision, and can access available forms of review. Obstacles may arise at each stage, including informational barriers, the cost of pursuing a claim, fear of adverse treatment and uncertainty concerning confidentiality. Consequently, enforcement design is part of the substance of rights protection.

Institutional accountability complements individual remedies. Internal recruitment rules, promotion practices, remuneration procedures and responses to complaints can be audited for potential gender-related disparities. However, such examination should remain sensitive to legitimate differences in duties, experience and working time. An isolated numerical difference cannot by itself demonstrate discrimination, just as the absence of recorded complaints cannot establish the absence of violations.

3. Materials and methods

The analysis employs a doctrinal reading of the Constitution of Uzbekistan (2023), Law No. O'RQ-561 of 2 September 2019, Law No. O'RQ-562 of 2 September 2019, and Law No. O'RQ-829 of 11 April 2023. Statutory guarantees are evaluated in relation to implementation duties rather than being treated as evidence of real-world outcomes. Article 58 of the current Constitution affirms equal rights of women and men [1].

The research is based on legal documents and a critical reading of the author's original manuscript. It does not use new surveys, interviews, experiments or unpublished case files. Any recommendations are normative deductions from the documentary review, not findings of a statistical impact study. Historical numerical statements from the original submissions are not reproduced as current statistics without attributable, dated primary sources.

The study uses document analysis with four coding categories: constitutional principles; labour-related guarantees; organizational prevention mechanisms; and accountability or remedies. A provision is first classified by its intended purpose, after which a separate implementation question is formulated. For example, recognition of equal opportunity in employment leads to questions about recruitment records, written selection criteria and complaint handling. This procedure supports a transparent interpretation while avoiding causal inferences that the materials cannot sustain.

4. Results: constitutional and legislative safeguards

The constitutional foundation is the express recognition of equal rights of women and men under Article 58 [1]. The correct article number matters because references to previous editions of the Constitution can misdirect legal readers. The equality guarantee provides a general framework for interpreting sector-specific protections, while the detailed scope of individual rights and remedies must be determined by the relevant legislation and applicable procedures.



Law No. O'RQ-562 establishes a dedicated basis for equal rights and opportunities [3]. The original manuscript discusses consultation and review structures intended to advance this objective in government bodies and workplaces. Such structures may review internal documents, participate in awareness initiatives and draw attention to potentially unequal treatment. Their exact mandates, reporting lines and decision-making powers should always be checked against the legal instrument establishing each body.

Protection against harassment and violence, addressed under Law No. O'RQ-561, forms a related but distinct legal field [2]. It should not be conflated with general employment equality rules, although both may be relevant when an individual experiences intimidation, exclusion or abuse in a professional setting. The 2023 legislative amendments further demonstrate that the regulatory framework is evolving [4]. A legally rigorous review must therefore identify the date of the applicable text and distinguish the original enactment from later amendments.

5. Results: labour rights and administrative practice

Labour rights are particularly significant where employment decisions intersect with pregnancy, childcare and other family responsibilities. The source manuscript identifies leave arrangements, nursing breaks and adjustments to certain working conditions as examples of protection. In a publishable legal analysis, the precise current entitlement, eligibility criteria and exceptions must be confirmed against the applicable Labour Code rather than inferred from a general statement about benefits.

Implementation should aim to preserve safety and care-related protections without establishing unnecessary obstacles to recruitment, professional advancement or equal pay. Employers benefit from documenting the factual basis for decisions, providing accessible explanations of rules and applying consistent criteria. Written procedures create an evidentiary record and make it easier for internal reviewers to distinguish legitimate managerial decisions from conduct requiring further investigation.

Advisory bodies can add value when their role is defined in operational terms. Possible functions include reviewing workplace policies, recommending awareness activities, receiving concerns through designated procedures and referring allegations to a competent authority. Such councils should not be described as courts or as bodies able to impose remedies beyond their legal powers. A governance model must specify who receives complaints, who can investigate, how conflicts of interest are managed and how outcomes are recorded.

6. Discussion: enforcement gaps and evaluation

The central result of the doctrinal comparison is the need to separate normative completeness from institutional accessibility. A statutory guarantee may be detailed while affected individuals remain uncertain about the appropriate complaint channel. Conversely, a highly visible outreach campaign may produce more reported cases without proving that violations have increased. Sound monitoring therefore combines procedural information with carefully interpreted outcome indicators.

An implementation framework may follow the sequence of awareness, access, review and corrective response. Awareness concerns accurate public information about rights. Access concerns whether relevant offices and procedures can be reached without disproportionate cost or retaliation. Review concerns timeliness, impartiality and recordkeeping. Corrective response concerns whether a justified



complaint results in an available remedy and preventive changes where needed. Each element has distinct evidence requirements.

A future empirical study could use anonymized administrative data on complaint receipt and resolution, independently collected employee surveys, and aggregated information about recruitment and promotion. Such work would need safeguards against identification of complainants and clear rules for evaluating missing data. Comparisons between institutions should account for their size and functions; reporting frequency alone is not a valid ranking of equality performance.

7. Conclusion and recommendations

Women's rights protection in Uzbekistan rests on interconnected constitutional and legislative guarantees. The doctrinal review supports the conclusion that legal recognition is necessary but insufficient for demonstrating practical equality. Organizational rules, trustworthy procedures and access to competent institutions determine whether the legal framework is usable in specific circumstances.

Three priorities follow from the analysis: precise and up-to-date legal guidance; clearly allocated responsibilities for prevention and complaint handling; and consistent evaluation of procedural access and outcomes. Future research should test these priorities through ethically collected evidence rather than equating the adoption of legislation with measurable improvements. This approach offers a realistic basis for further comparative work and applied legal research.

References

1. Constitution of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2023), Article 58. <https://konstitutsiya.gov.uz/en>
2. Law No. O'RQ-561 of 2 September 2019, On the Protection of Women from Harassment and Violence. Official legal information: <https://lex.uz/>
3. Law No. O'RQ-562 of 2 September 2019, On Guarantees of Equal Rights and Opportunities for Women and Men. <https://lex.uz/>
4. Law No. O'RQ-829 of 11 April 2023, concerning further protection of the rights of women and children. <https://lex.uz/>